

International Journal of A J Institute of Medical Sciences 1 (2012) 112-117

Original Research Article

Serodiagnosis of dengue using NS1 antigen, dengue IgM, dengue IgG antibody with correlation of platelet counts

Saroj Golia, Vivek Hittinahalli, K. Sujatha Karjigi, Mallika Reddy, Asha S. Kamath

Department of Microbiology, Dr.B.R.Ambedkar Medical College, Bangalore, India

Abstract

Early laboratory diagnosis of dengue infection is important, as it can allow early intervention and better prognosis. Dengue IgM antibody is detected usually after five days of infection. NS1 antigen can be detected as early as day one. The received 593 serum samples were tested by rapid methods and ELISA, in which 90 samples were positive by rapid tests that included NS1 antigen test, IgM and IgG dengue antibody by immune chromatographic tests. Sixty one samples were positive by dengue IgM and dengue IgG ELISA. Samples positive for dengue IgM antibodies or NS1 antigen alone or positive for both were classified as primary dengue infection. Samples positive for dengue IgG antibody or dengue IgG and IgM antibodies were classified as secondary dengue infections. Of the 90 cases positive by rapid card test, 62 cases were classified as primary dengue infection and 28 cases as secondary dengue infection. Total 61 cases were positive by ELISA tests of these 35 cases were diagnosed as primary dengue and remaining 26 cases as secondary dengue infection. Thus the rapid tests such as NS1 antigen test, IgM and IgG dengue antibody can be used for the diagnosis in small peripheral laboratories. Thrombocytopenia was more consistent with cases positive for only IgG. Though MAC ELISA is more sensitive and specific than rapid methods, detection of NS1 antigen by immunochromatography test helps in early detection.

© International Journal of A J Institute of Medical Sciences. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Dengue; Dengue IgG; Dengue IgM; NS1 antigen; Platelet count

Received 1st October, 2012; Accepted 30th October, 2012.

1. Introduction

Dengue is caused by a positive stranded RNA virus of the flaviviridae family with four distinct serotypes (dengue 1 to 4) that are related antigenically.¹ India is endemic for dengue infection. As of 2010 dengue fever is believed to infect 50 to 100 million people worldwide a year, with half a million life threatening infection. It has dramatically increased in frequency between 1960 and 2010 by 30 fold. The geographical distributions around the equator with 70% of total 2.5 billion people living in endemic areas from

Asia and the Pacific.² To reduce the high mortality rate and the disease burden, it is imperative to have a rapid and sensitive laboratory assay for early detection of the disease. The diagnostic methods currently available are viral culture, viral RNA detection by reverse transcriptase PCR (RT-PCR) and serological tests such as an immunoglobulin M (IgM) capture enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (MAC-ELISA). However, early dengue diagnosis still remains a problem, as all these assays have their own pitfalls. The first two assays have the scope as a routine diagnostic

procedure. Viral isolation by cell culture and subsequent detection by immunofluorescence, though the gold standard, cannot be used as a routine diagnostic procedure due to its low sensitivity, laborious procedure and time consumption.^{3,4,5} The requirement of a highly trained staff, the need of a sophisticated equipment as well as the cost factor associated with molecular methods has limited its application as a routine diagnostic assay. Anti dengue IgM antibody appear as early as 3 days after infection and remain in circulation for one to two months. Anti dengue IgG antibody appear after one week, peaks after 2 to 3 weeks and remain life long in circulation.² The MAC-ELISA, which is a commonly used assay, has a low sensitivity in the first four days of illness.⁶ The requirement of paired sera at acute and convalescent phase, which improves the accuracy of the test but further delays it. NS1 (non-structural protein 1) is a highly conserved glycoprotein that is essential for the viability of dengue virus and is produced both in membrane-associated and secretory forms by the virus.⁷ The detection of secretory NS1 protein represents a new approach to the diagnosis of acute dengue infection.

The wide variation of platelet counts is a natural process. Since the mechanism of dengue related thrombocytopenia and coagulopathy is complex, it would involve platelet activation, procoagulant and anticoagulant arms of the coagulation system, complement, cytokines, and endothelial cells.¹¹ Moreover, asymptomatic thrombocytopenia would require platelet transfusion though platelet counts might not correlate well with clinical bleeding indicating that other features such as disturbance in platelet function and capillary fragility, contribute to the bleeding diathesis.¹¹

2. Material and methods

Five hundred ninety three clinical samples

suspicious of dengue were collected from April 2012 to September 2012 at our institution in Bangalore, India. All serum samples were tested by rapid card test and ELISA methods, and platelet counts were correlated for any of the dengue parameter. In rapid solid phase immunochromatography for the qualitative detection of dengue NS1 antigen, differential detection of IgG and IgM antibodies to dengue virus from patients was done by card methods. SD Bioline card test was used. Similarly all the serum samples were tested for dengue IgM, and dengue IgG antibodies by ELISA method. For dengue IgM ELISA, serum samples were tested by Pan Bio dengue IgM capture ELISA. This enzyme linked immunoassay detection of IgM antibody to dengue was done as per manufacturer's instructions. For dengue IgG ELISA serum samples were tested by Pan Bio dengue IgG capture ELISA test. This enzyme linked immunoassay detection of IgG antibody to dengue was done as per manufacturer's instructions. The permutations and combinations of dengue specific parameters were correlated with thrombocytopenia. Platelet count was done by automated Becman Coulter 5 part cell analyzer. Platelet counts of all the cases positive for any of the dengue parameter were recorded.

3. Results

The received samples were tested by rapid methods and ELISA, in which 90 samples were positive by rapid tests that included NS1 antigen immune chromatographic test, IgM and IgG dengue immune chromatographic tests. Sixty one samples were positive by dengue IgM and dengue IgG ELISA. Table 1 illustrates the serological positive cases by rapid method, ELISA and by both the methods. As illustrated in the Table 2, in rapid methods 23.3% samples were positive for NS1 antigen immunochromatography test, 33.3% samples were positive by dengue IgM immunochromatography method, 12.2% were positive by both dengue IgM immunochromatography method and NS1

antigen. 16.7% were found to be positive for dengue IgG immunochromatography test. 1.1% were positive for NS1 antigen, dengue IgM and IgG antibody altogether. 12.2% were positive for dengue IgM and IgG antibodies. Samples positive for NS1 antigen, dengue IgM antibodies or for both NS1 antigen and dengue IgM antibody were classified as primary dengue infection. Samples positive for dengue IgG antibody or both dengue IgG and IgM antibodies were classified as secondary dengue infections. The rapid card test includes detection of NS1 antigen, dengue IgM and IgG antibodies. Of the 90 cases positive by rapid card test 62 cases were classified as primary dengue infection and 28 cases as secondary dengue infection. All who had a platelet count of <100000/ul (as per WHO cut off for platelet count for DHF) were considered as thrombocytopenic. Of 21 cases positive for NS1 antigen, 15(71.4%) showed thrombocytopenia. Platelet counts

decreased more in secondary dengue infections, of 15 positive for only dengue IgG antibodies 13(86.6%) showed decreased platelet counts. Table 3 depicts number and percentage of platelet count in relation to positive primary and secondary dengue cases. As illustrated in Table 4, 35 cases were positive by dengue IgM ELISA tests, 17 cases positive by dengue IgG ELISA, 9 cases positive by both IgG and IgM ELISA test. Table 5 depicts ELISA tests positive in primary and secondary infections in relation to platelet count. 61 cases were positive by ELISA tests. Thirty five cases were classified as primary dengue and remaining 26 cases as secondary dengue infection. In primary dengue infections 23(65%) cases showed thrombocytopenia. Platelet counts were more decreased in secondary dengue infections that is 14(82.4%) cases showed thrombocytopenia out of 17 cases.

Table 1: Serologically positive cases by rapid, ELISA and by both the methods

Rapid test only	ELISA test only	Positive for both Rapid and ELISA	Total no of positive cases
90	61	108	151

Table 2: Serologically positive samples using rapid test methods

Rapid test	Positive	%
NS1 antigen test	21	23.3%
IgM	30	33.3%
NS1+ IgM	11	12.2%
IgG	15	16.7%
NS1+ IgG	1	1.1%
NS1+ IgM+IgG	1	1.1%
IgM+IgG	11	12.2%
Total	90	100%

Table 3: Number and percentage of platelet count in relation to positive primary and secondary dengue cases

Rapid test	No of Primary cases	No of Secondary cases	No of samples Platelet count <1 lakh
NS1 antigen test	21	0	15(71.4%)
IgM	30	0	17(56.6%)
NS1+ IgM	11	0	11(100%)
IgG	0	15	13(86.6%)
NS1+ IgG	0	1	1(100%)
NS1+ IgM+IgG	0	1	1(100%)
IgM+ IgG	0	11	7(63.6%)
Total	62	28	-

Table 4: Serologically positive cases diagnosed by ELISA test

Name of the test	No. of cases positive (%)	%
IgM ELISA	35	57.4%
IgG ELISA	17	27.9%
IgM+IgG ELISA	9	14.7%
Total	61	100%

Table 5: ELISA tests positive in primary and secondary infections in relation to platelet count

Name of the test	Primary dengue infection	Secondary dengue infection	No of cases showing platelet count <1 lakh	%
IgM ELISA	35	0	23	65.7%
IgG ELISA	0	17	14	82.4%
IgM+IgG ELISA	0	9	7	77.7%
Total	35	26	-	-

4. Discussion

Incidence of dengue has increased 30 fold with an expanded geographic distribution of both the viruses and the mosquito vector to new countries and from urban to rural settings.² The new challenge is recurrence of dengue haemorrhagic fever with higher severity, even twenty years after the primary infection. Dengue vaccine development has been in progress for several decades however the complex pathology of illness, the need to control 4 virus serotypes hampered progress of vaccines. In patients it gives new dimension to this disease and reinforces the need to get the long lasting immunity to all 4 serotypes of viruses. The vaccines should provide protective to four serotypes to avoid the ADE (antibody dependent enhancement) phenomenon.¹

The present study was carried out from April 2012 to September 2012. In 593 clinically suspected cases of dengue 151 were positive for dengue virus infection. All these samples were tested by rapid card test and ELISA test. All the positive samples for any of the dengue parameter were assessed for platelet counts. In 593 suspected cases for dengue 90 cases came positive by rapid immune chromatographic test and 61 cases positive by ELISA method. Out of 90 cases, 62 were primary dengue infection of which 21(23.3%) were positive for NS1 antigen, 30(33.3%) were positive for IgM antibodies, and 11(12.2%) were positive for both NS1 antigen and IgM antibodies. This was similar to study by Sang et al.⁸ Thrombocytopenia was more consistent with NS1 positive cases that is 71.4%. Similar results were seen in the study by Kukarani et al.² Similarly rapid Immunochromatographic test detected a total of 28 cases as secondary dengue infection. Of these 15(86.6%) were positive for dengue IgG, 11(63.6%) were positive for both dengue IgG and IgM and only one case each positive for dengue NS1 and IgG and NS1, IgM and IgG. Hence, number of cases would be missed if NS1 antigen test was not included. In the

present study all the samples were again tested with PANBIO capture ELISA. 61 were positive by this method, of these 35 were primary dengue infection, 26 were secondary dengue infection. Thrombocytopenia was more consistent with cases positive for only IgG that is 82.4%. Total 52 cases were positive for IgM and IgG by ELISA. Total of 45 were positive for IgM and IgG by rapid immunochromatography. So total of 7 cases were missed by rapid methods. Thus ELISA is more sensitive than rapid card test. Rapid card test could detect more case of primary dengue infection because it also included NS1 antigen detection while the ELISA used in the current study did not include NS1 antigen. Titer of NS1 represents the viral load and the load is directly proportional to complications. It can be logically inferred that in complication prone cases i.e. having viral load, detection of NS1 will be easier. The ease, time taken by the immune chromatography test makes it as an important method in peripheral areas where the laboratory has to function with poor facilities and provide the diagnosis to clinicians in the management of infections like dengue.

5. Conclusion

In the present study 593 clinically suspected dengue fever cases were tested for NS1 antigen, IgM and IgG antibodies by immunochromatography methods. IgM ELISA and IgG ELISA methods were also done. In 593 suspected cases tested positive for dengue infection. Rapid card test detected total of 90 cases in which 62 were diagnosed as primary dengue infection of which 21 cases were positive for NS1 antigen, 31 were positive for IgM, 11 were positive for IgM and NS1 antigen. ELISA detected 61 cases in which 35 cases were primary dengue infection. The ease and the time taken makes immunochromatography test for diagnosis of dengue an excellent tool in peripheral areas where laboratory has to function without great technological backup and still is expected to provide reasonable opinion to the clinician in the

management of infections like dengue. Inclusion of NS1 antigen in the immunochromatography further enhances the clinical utility immunochromatography. ELISA can be very effective in the diagnosis of dengue infection but limitations of the test include their inability to identify dengue virus type and potential cross reactivity with other flaviviruses. However, the need of the hour is in long term vector controls strategy so that outbreak can be prevented and this will simultaneously solve the problem of other mosquito borne disease like chickungunya, japanese encephalitis, malaria, and filaria.

References

1. Mehendale SM, Risbud AR, Rao JA, Banerjee K. Outbreak of dengue fever in rural areas of Parbhani district of Maharashtra. *Indian J Med Res* 1991;93:6-11.
2. Dengue: Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment, prevention and control. World Health Organisation; 2009.
3. Chakravarti A, Kumaria R, Batra VV, Verma V. Improved detection of dengue virus serotypes from serum samples - Evaluation of single-tube multiplex RT-PCR with cell culture. *Dengue Bulletin* 2006;30:133-140.
4. Sekaran SD, Lan EC, Maheswarappa KB, Appanna R, Subramaniam G. Evaluation of a dengue NS1 capture ELISA assay for the rapid detection of dengue. *J Infect Dev Ctries* 2007;1:182-188.
5. Shu PY, Huang JH. Current advances in dengue diagnosis. *Clin Diagn Lab Immunol* 2004;11:642-650.
6. Alcon S, Talarmin A, Debruyne M, Falconar A, Duebel V, Flamand M. Enzyme - linked immunosorbent assay specific to dengue virus type 1 nonstructural protein ns1 reveals circulation of the antigen in the blood during the acute phase of disease in patients experiencing primary or secondary infections. *J Clin Microbiol* 2002;40:376-381.
7. Dussart P, Labeau B, Lagathu G, Louis P, Nunes MRT, Rodrigues SG, et al. Evaluation of an enzyme immunoassay for detection of dengue virus NS1 antigen human serum. *Clin Vaccine Immunol* 2006;13:1185-1189.
8. Sang CT, Hoon LS, Cuzzubbo A, Devine PL. Clinical evaluation of a rapid immunochromatographic test for the diagnosis of dengue virus infection. *Clin Diagn Lab Immunol* 1998;5:407-409.
9. Dutta AK, Biswas A, Baruah K, Dhariwal AC. National guidelines for diagnosis and management of dengue fever/dengue haemorrhagic fever and dengue shock syndrome. *J Indian Med Assoc* 2011;109:30-35.
10. Srivastava A, Dash PK, Tripathi NK, Sahani AK, Gopalan Nand Rao PV. Evaluation of commercial NS1 enzyme linked immunosorbent assay for early detection of dengue infection. *J Indian Med Assoc* 2011;29:51-55.
11. Arya SC, Agarwal N. Evaluation of automated blood count analyzers for utility in resource poor laboratories. *Clin Chim Acta* 2009;401:187.
12. Dengue haemorrhagic fever: Diagnosis, treatment, prevention and control. 2nd ed. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2009.
13. Kulkarni RD, Patil SS, Ajantha GS, Upadhyaya AK, Kalabhavi AS, Shubhada RM, et al. Association of platelet count and serological markers of dengue infection-importance of NS1 antigen. *Indian J Med Microbiol* 2011;29:359-62.

Corresponding author

Dr. Vivek Hittinahalli
Associate Professor
Department of Microbiology
Dr. B.R. Ambedkar Medical College
Bangalore, India
Mob: +91 7760984581
E-mail: vivekhitt@yahoo.com